



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,946	0	9/10/2003	Allen David Roses	PU3948US3	7814	
23347	7590	01/13/2006		EXAMINER		
GLAXOSN			CLOW, LORI A			
		LECTUAL PROPE DBOX 13398	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESEARCH	I TRIANG	LE PARK, NC 27	1631			
				DATE MAILED 01/12/200	,	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apr	olication No.	on No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			659,946	ROSES, ALLEN	ROSES, ALLEN DAVID					
			miner	Art Unit						
		Lori	A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ddress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Indication. atutory period will appliable, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the capacity (as U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 Septer	nber 2003.							
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 September 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
,—	Since this application is in condition	•		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is					
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority d	ocuments have bee	en received in this Nationa	I Stage					
	application from the Internation									
* (See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of th	e certified copies no	ot received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice	æ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	FO 452\					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:										

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 and 7-18, drawn to methods of conducting a clinical trial, classified in class 705, subclass 3.
- II. Claim 5, drawn to a method of designing novel drug therapies, classified in class705, subclass 3.
- III. Claim 6, drawn to a method of developing discrete drugs, classified in class 705, subclass 3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the methods have method steps that are different for recited outcomes which are different, therefore the inventions are unrelated. The Invention of Group I is for conducting clinical drug trials, whereas the invention of Group II is directed to designing novel drug therapies.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the methods have steps that are different and recited outcomes which are different, therefore the

Application/Control Number: 10/659,946

Art Unit: 1631

inventions are unrelated. The invention of Group I is for conducting clinical drug trials, whereas the invention of Group III is directed to a method of developing discrete drugs.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the methods have steps that are different and recited outcomes which are different, therefore the inventions are unrelated. The Invention of Group II is directed to designing novel drug therapies, whereas the invention of Group III is directed to a method of developing discrete drugs.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Alzheimer's disease; claim 7

Species B: Irritable Bowel Syndrome; claim 8

Species C: Migraine Headache; claim 9

Species D: Psoriasis; claim 10

Species E: Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus; claim 11

Species F: Asthma; claim 12

Application/Control Number: 10/659,946

Art Unit: 1631

Species G: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; claim 13

Species H: Bipolar Disorder; claim 14

Species I: Depression; claim 15

Species J: Epilepsy; claim 16

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from those listed as species A-J, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 are generic. This species election applies if Applicant elects Group I, claim 1-4.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,946

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

January 11, 2006 Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. Art Unit 1631 Patent Eyannher Le A-Clas